முறையில் அமுல் நடத்துவதற்கு அரசாங்கம் நடவடிக்கை எடுத்தால் அங்கு தகராறுக்கே இடம் இருக்காது. அதற்கான நடவடிக்கையை சர்க்கார் எடுக்கவேண்டும் என்று வற்புறுத்துகிறேன். அவ்விதமே நமது நிதி அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் உறுதிமொழி கூறுவார்கள் என்று நினைக்கிறேன்; அதுவே எனது நோக்கமும்கூட.

Mr. SPEAKER : I find that this also is an adjournment motion which does not come within the terms of rule 41. The hon. Member has not given any detail as to when orders under section 144 were promulgated and why the Malabar Special Police made the raids. Some vague allegations have been made. It is not a definite matter of urgent public importance. The incident occurred on 14th August, and we have had one or two sittings subsequently. The subject-matter of the adjournment motion does not involve more than the ordinary administration of the law. I, therefore, disallow the adjournment motion.

IV.—HALF-HOUR DEBATE *RE* MADRAS-ANDHRA BORDER DISPUTES.

Sri P. RAMAMURTHI : Before we take up the next item of business for to-day, I would like to bring to the notice of the Government that subsequent to the statement of the Hon. Leader of the House in this Assembly regarding the stand taken by the Andhra Government as disclosed in the Andhra Governor's Address in the Andhra Assembly over the Andhra-Tamil border disputes, the Chief Minister of the Andhra State has made a statement in the Andhra Legislative Assembly. I would like to know whether the Government have taken notice of this statement and are prepared to make any statement about it on the floor of this House. As to-day happens to be the last day of the sitting, it will be very useful if a half-hour debate is permitted on this question and we, on this side of the House, will have an opportunity to say what we think about the whole question.

(Sri K. Vinayakam stood up.)

Mr. SPEAKER : I would like to know . . .

(Sri K. Vinayakam was still standing.)

Mr. SPEAKER : It is only ordinary courtesy that the hon. Member should sit down when the Speaker is on his legs.

(Sri K. Vinayakam resumed his seat.)

I would like to know whether the Hon. Leader of the House has anything to say on this point.

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM : I also would like to have the matter cleared up. So we may take up this matter at 3 o'clock this afternoon when we re-assemble after lunch, and discuss it.

Sri K. VINAYAKAM : The object of my rising was to congratulate the Leader of the Opposition on taking such a lively interest in solving the border dispute, in which he was not evincing

[Sri K. Vinayakam] [18th August 1956

so much interest when his Andhra comrades were here. Apart from that, in the discussion, I would like the Leader of the House to make it clear how we should solve this problem. There is no use of simply making a statement and leaving the matter in the lurch. The Opposition Members . . .

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. Member will have ample time to say what the Hon. Leader of the House should say and how the problem should be solved. The Hon. Leader of the House will make a statement at 3 p.m. to-day.

V.—GOVERNMENT MOTION.

MOTION UNDER RULE 21 (3) OF THE ASSEMBLY RULES *re* CHANGE IN THE ORDER OF BUSINESS

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Among the official Bills, we will be taking up only the Presidency Small Cause Courts (Madras Amendment) Bill during this sitting. After that is disposed of, we will take up the half-hour debate and then the non-official business. I move—

"That in the agenda for 18th August 1956 under Official business the Presidency Small Cause Courts (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1956, alone be taken up for consideration."

The motion was put and carried.

VI.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE PRESIDENCY SMALL CAUSE COURTS (MADRAS AMENDMENT) BILL 1956.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Sir, I beg leave to introduce the Presidency Small Cause Courts (Madras Amendment) Bill *, 1956, and move—

"That the Bill be taken into consideration at once."

This Bill is intended to rectify certain defects found in the provisions of the Presidency Small Cause Courts Act, 1882. Section 41 of the Act reads—

"When any person has had possession of any immovable property situate within the local limits of the Small Cause Court's jurisdiction and of which the annual value at a rack-rent, does not exceed two thousand rupees, as the tenant, or by permission of another person, or of some person through whom such other person claims,

and such tenancy or permission has determined or been withdrawn.

and such tenant or occupier or any person holding under or by assignment from him (hereinafter called the occupant) refuses to deliver up such property in compliance with a request made to him in this behalf by such other person,

---

* Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 6th June 1956.